

HUMAN INTELLIGENCE FOR AI

Independent human judgement for consequential AI

How independent ratings, human oversight, decision traceability, dependency resilience and continuous intelligence work together.

3.56M

source-addressed AI records

45,780

deployed systems in the
Census

525

systems assessed in GEN1

13,727

adversarially verified
evidence cells

RATE AI helps organisations use more AI with greater confidence. The rating provides an independent external position. Independent Human Oversight brings governed human judgement into operation. Decision Traceability preserves the path from AI output to human action. Dependency Resilience protects the organisation's ability to intervene and change course as AI scales.

The public layer shows measured market patterns. Commissioned work applies the same field to named systems, providers, human-oversight perimeters, dependencies and material changes under the appropriate confidentiality perimeter.

THE OPERATING QUESTION

As AI scales, can the organisation show where human judgement entered, what evidence supported it and how it can intervene when conditions change?

ONE RATING · THREE READINGS

An independent position with the structure still visible

RATE AI publishes one headline rating on a twelve-band scale. The underlying readings explain the position while keeping the public signal concise and comparable.

AAA · AA · A · BBB · BB · B · CCC · CC · C · DDD · DD · D

READING	WHAT IT TELLS THE ORGANISATION
RATE AI band	The comparative position. AAA is the strongest position and D the most severe.
REI · Risk Exposure Index	How much external exposure the position carries across consequential use, binding rules, restrictions, enforcement and related pressure.
ADI · Autonomy of Decision Index	How much governance and decision control are demonstrably in place, including human oversight, review, explanation and decision traceability.
T · Transparency	How much of the applicable evidence surface an external party can independently reconstruct from public sources. In GEN1, T≥90 marks the point-by-point public checkability frontier.

READ TOGETHER

The band states the comparative RATE AI position. REI shows the exposure carried by that position. ADI shows how much governance and decision control are demonstrably in place, including human oversight and decision traceability. T shows how much of the reading can be independently reconstructed.

THE FIELD BEHIND HUMAN JUDGEMENT

RATE AI arrives with the market already measured

The rating is one output of a broader information infrastructure. Before a client arrives, RATE AI already holds a measured external view of systems, peer groups, providers, jurisdictions, enforcement, evidence states, dependencies and change. That field informs where independent human judgement can create the greatest operating value.

LAYER	WHAT IT CONTRIBUTES
AI Census	Market-scale discovery and a source-addressed denominator for real deployed AI.
System dossiers	Comparable evidence records tied to exact systems, versions, use contexts and cut-off dates.
Global legal library	Legislation, enforcement, jurisprudence and authority routes mapped across jurisdictions.
Humans RATE AI	Structured human evidence that connects reported experience and consequence to the wider intelligence field.
Economic & sector context	Official contextual data that helps interpret scale, domain and institutional environment.
Historical & dependency layer	Versioned positions plus model, cloud, API, provider and jurisdiction dependencies that inform dependency resilience.

The client adds private operating context to a field that already exists. Human oversight, traceability and resilience can then be designed around a system that is already understood relative to its market.

HUMAN JUDGEMENT THAT LEAVES EVIDENCE

The operating judgement stays tied to its proof

RATE AI treats evidence as part of the operating object. Each material conclusion remains attributable to the evaluated unit, source route, date and verification state. In Independent Human Oversight, the same discipline preserves who reviewed a case, what was considered, what judgement was made and what happened next.

DISCIPLINE	INDEPENDENT ASSURANCE
Exact evaluated unit	Evidence is tied to the system, deployment and jurisdiction being rated.
Source qualification	Legal and enforcement findings use official sources; governance findings use attributable primary evidence.
Durable record	Evidence is preserved with source references and integrity fingerprints for later reconstruction.
Adversarial verification	A separate verification chain attempts to reject claims at source before they enter the final analytical record.
Human judgement	Named human review, challenge, judgement and escalation are preserved under a governed and traceable process.
Versioned cut-off	Each release is tied to a frozen evidence date so later movement can be distinguished from the original position.

GEN1 EVIDENCE CHAIN

13,727 evidence cells were adjudicated in the complete programme. This evidence discipline is the foundation for a broader human-intelligence model: material claims remain traceable to source, and operational human judgement can be preserved with the same versioned discipline.

WHAT GEN1 ALREADY REVEALS

The field reveals where human judgement, traceability and resilience can create operating advantage

CCC

global assessed position

150 / 498

ratings at T≥90

122

benchmark-high-risk with no binding class

26

benchmark-high-risk with zero public controls

MARKET SIGNAL	GEN1 READING	DECISION IMPLICATION
Healthcare	B · 103 systems · 51 at T≥90	Authorisation discipline shows how structured human judgement and durable evidence can become operating infrastructure for AI at scale.
Models served as a service	CCC · REI 50.2 · 26 systems · only 6 at T≥90	The highest aggregate exposure in the industry field sits in a dependency layer increasingly consumed as a service, making resilience a direct operating question.
Public / private	Public B · Private CC	Evidence infrastructure can strengthen both public and private AI when human judgement and decision traceability are made durable.
Repair distance	278 systems at T 50-90 · median two governance evidence areas	For many systems, the path to stronger confidence is concentrated in a small number of human-oversight and traceability records.

These are public aggregate findings. Named comparative positions, evidence gaps, dependency maps and system-level intelligence sit within the appropriate confidential mandate.

FROM RATING TO OPERATION

An independent position becomes more valuable when it strengthens how the organisation operates AI

CAPABILITY	OPERATING USE
RATE AI Rating	Establish the independent comparative position across a system, provider, AI estate, company, portfolio, sector or jurisdiction.
Independent Human Oversight	Operate a governed external human-judgement layer around triggered, sampled, exception or high-consequence AI cases.
Decision Traceability	Preserve the link between AI output, human judgement, intervention, escalation, authority and final disposition.
Independent Monitoring	Identify material provider, evidence, regulatory, incident and dependency changes that merit renewed attention.
Dependency Resilience	Strengthen the organisation's ability to intervene, substitute critical AI dependencies, maintain continuity and change course.

PUBLIC / COMMISSIONED BOUNDARY

Public reports establish market authority through aggregate positions and selected findings. Commissioned work names the operating perimeter: systems, human-oversight cases, decision traceability, critical dependencies, historical movement and material-change alerts.

Use more AI. With greater confidence.

RATE AI. By HUMANS · rateai.global.com/contact