

FIVE FINDINGS WORTH CARRYING INTO THE NEXT AI DECISION

Public intelligence digest · evidence cut-off 7 September 2026

525

assessed systems

498

published ratings

150

positions at $T \geq 90$

CCC

global position

The Signal turns measured patterns into decision questions. Each finding is public at aggregate level. Named system positions, evidence gaps and dependencies remain part of confidential RATE AI intelligence.

THE BOARD TEST

Which assumption inside our next AI decision is the market already telling us to challenge?

01 · EVIDENCE READINESS

THE TWO-DOCUMENT GAP

278

systems at T 50-90

2 of 5

median governance evidence areas
needing stronger records

T≥90

public checkability frontier

For a typical system in this group, around two of the five governance evidence areas still need stronger, verifiable records before the position can be reassessed with greater confidence.

The missing evidence most often concerns human oversight, contestation, explanation, decision traceability or automated-decision control. That gives management a finite agenda: identify the few records that matter, make them verifiable, and test whether the stronger evidence changes the position.

Which two records are holding back your position?

02 · INDUSTRY EVIDENCE

HEALTHCARE’S AUTHORISATION ADVANTAGE

B

healthcare position

103

systems assessed

51

positions at T≥90

12

A-zone systems

Healthcare shows what happens when governance becomes usable evidence. Authorisation routes create durable records that buyers, boards and authorities can reconstruct after the decision.

Where in our non-clinical AI estate are we accepting weaker evidence than we would ever accept for a regulated product?

03 · REGULATORY TIMING

THE AI ACT BENCHMARK GAP

122

benchmark-high-risk + no binding class

23%

share of assessed field

26

benchmark-high-risk + zero public controls

The gap marks decisions that are economically live before the binding classification catches up. For senior management, that is a timing signal: conditions, owners and evidence can be set while the organisation still controls the decision architecture.

Which of those decisions are already inside your estate?

04 · EVIDENCE INFRASTRUCTURE

THE REGISTER EFFECT

B

public field · 111 systems

CC

private field · 414 systems

36 / 114

public / private positions at T≥90

The strongest positions are built around durable evidence routes. Registers, authorisation infrastructure and system-level records turn governance into evidence that an independent party can reconstruct and use.

What would your position look like if the governance you already have became independently reconstructable?

05 · ENTERPRISE DEPENDENCIES

THE ENTERPRISE SERVICE-LAYER EXPOSURE

26

MaaS systems

CCC

headline position

50.2

aggregate REI

6

positions at T≥90

The highest aggregate exposure in the industry field sits in the layer enterprises increasingly rent rather than own. Models served as a service carry REI 50.2, ADI 19.2 and mean T 79.8%.

When an organisation buys enterprise AI as a service, it inherits a moving dependency: model family, cloud or API route, version cycle, subprocessor chain and jurisdictional footprint can all change while the contract remains in force.

Which provider dependency would hurt most if its position changed after signature?

FROM SIGNAL TO DECISION

RATE AI Independent Review places the live decision against the measured external field. Continuous Intelligence then watches the signals that can change the basis of that decision.

Bring RATE AI the consequential AI decision you expect to make in the next 90 days.

rateaiglobal.com/contact